



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/125,128 08/11/98 IGUCHI

Y 1084-98

EXAMINER

IM22/0605

SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS  
1600 MARKET STREET  
36TH FLOOR  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

CLEVELAND, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1762

DATE MAILED:

06/05/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/125,128

Applicant(s)

Iguchi et al.

Examiner

Michael Cleveland

Group Art Unit

1762



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Cleveland

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Felicity Rowe

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jun 1, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1+

Identification of prior art discussed:

Shinoda, Nanto

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed possibility of amending claims to differentiate from screen printing processes by including a distance between the outlets and the substrate. Discussed motivation for rejections under 35 USC 103. The rejections are maintained.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.